

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/120,044

07/21/98

MINETTI

C

1758-4036U\$2

HM32/1025

MORGAN & FINNEGAN 345 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10154

EXAMINER DEVI,S ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1645 શ્રે 3

DATE MAILED:

10/25/00

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	
a) is extended to run or continues to run from the date of t	the final rejection
b) a expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory A event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date	action, whichever is later. In no e of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed in The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the respon purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any ex 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or	nse and also the date for the tension fee pursuant to 37 CFR
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed <u>67, 98, vv</u> has been considered with the follo to place the application in condition for allowance:	wing effect, but it is not deemed
1. X The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final reject	ion stands because:
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessarily presented. 	cessary and was not earlier
b. 🗖 They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).	
c. X They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
d. Σ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing appeal.	g or simplifying the issues for
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected	claims.
NOTE: The limitation i.e. the "proviso" claim language, a introduces new matter requiring zons there	Adad to claims 14 a consideration and
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separa the non-allowable claims. A Notice of Lowing been filed, will be entered and be as follows:	•
Claims allowed: 12-15	
Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: 1-7, 22-26 and 31-34.	
However;	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the	rejection because
 The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent represented. 	asons why it was not earlier
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.	1-1:8:1
Other	VNETTE R E SMITH
SUPERV	ISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECH	INOLOGY CENTER 1600